## 1) <u>The Borrower</u> – Does he acquire future profits?

	Law	Reason	Source
Rav Papa (a)	Does not acquire future profits <b>after</b> <b>pledging to pay</b>	Has no believable claim to exempt himself from payment	
Rav Papa (b)	Acquires future profits <b>after pledging</b> <b>to pay</b>	Could have lied and claimed that the animal died on account of its work	
Rav Zvid (Abaye)	Acquires future profits <b>after payment</b> <b>only</b>	Since the borrower reaps full benefits from the item, the owner feels no obligation to convey future profits merely on account of a verbal pledge from the guardian.	<ul> <li>Braisa (2): If one borrowed a cow, and it was stolen, and the borrower "went ahead"</li> <li>(v'kidem) and paid the owner, the thief pays the double payment to the borrower.</li> </ul>

## Does Braisa (2) refute Rav Papa (b)?

Our Mishnah: "The guardian paid and did not desire to swear" – "שילם ולא רצה לישבע"

Rabbi Yochanan: "The guardian paid" means that he pledged to pay

Braisa (1) [in support for Rabbi Yochanan]: If one rented a cow, and it was stolen, and the renter said "I shall pay and not swear", the thief pays the double payment to the renter.

**Braisa (2):** If one borrowed a cow, and it was stolen, and the borrower **"went ahead"** (*v'kidem*) and paid the owner, the thief pays the double payment to the borrower.

**Conclusion:** Braisa (2) refutes the opinion of Rav Papa (b).

Question 1: Does Braisa (2) refute the opinion of Rav Papa (a)? (Tosafos)

Question 2: If not, is there a legal difference between Rav Papa (a) and Rav Zvid? (Tosafos)

